# Classical Invariant Theory and Birational Geometry of Moduli Spaces

How not to prove the  $S_n$ -invariant F-conjecture

Han-Bom Moon

Department of Mathematics Fordham University

December 7, 2016

## Part I

# Invariant theory

#### Question

Let A be a finitely generated algebra (over a field k or  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Let G be a subgroup of Aut(A). Calculate the invariant subring

$$A^G := \{ x \in A \mid g(x) = x, \ \forall g \in G \}.$$

Mainly, we will work on a special situation:

V: G-respresentation

k[V]: ring of polynomial functions on V

There is an induced G-action on k[V].

#### Question

Calculate  $k[V]^G$ .

## Question (Gauss, 1801)

How is a binary quadratic form with integer coefficients affected by a linear transformation of its variables?

$$ax^{2} + 2bxy + cy^{2}, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$x = \alpha x' + \beta y', \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a'(x')^{2} + 2b'x'y' + c'(y')^{2}$$

$$(b')^{2} - a'c' = (b^{2} - ac)(\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma)^{2}$$
If  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_{2}, \ (b')^{2} - a'c' = b^{2} - ac \cdots$  discovery of an invariant

tried to classify binary quadratic forms

## Example - Symmetric group

Consider the polynomial ring  $k[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n]$ .

There is a natural  $S_n$ -action permuting the variables.

Examples of  $S_n$ -invariants:

$$E_1 := X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n,$$
  

$$E_2 := \sum_{i < j} X_i X_j,$$
  

$$E_3 := \sum_{i < j < k} X_i X_j X_k,$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$E_n := X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$$

Theorem (Fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials, Gauss, 1815)  $k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]^{S_n} \cong k[E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n].$ 

## Example - Linear algebra

 $V = M_{n \times n}$ : set of  $n \times n$  matrices,  $G = GL_n$ 

There is a conjugate action on V defined by  $\sigma \cdot A := \sigma^{-1}A\sigma$  (a basis change).

 $k[V] = k[X_{11}, X_{12}, \cdots, X_{nn}]$ 

Examples of invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{trace} : X_{11} + X_{22} + \cdots + X_{nn}, \\ & \operatorname{determinant} : \ \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n X_{i\tau(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

More generally, coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are invariants.

#### Theorem

As a k-algebra,  $k[V]^G$  is generated by coefficients of the characteristic polynomials. Therefore  $k[V]^G \cong k[a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n]$ .

## Example - Homogeneous forms

 $V_d := \{a_0 X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} Y + \dots + a_d Y^d\}: \text{ set of degree } d \text{ homogeneous polynomials of degree } d \text{ with two variables } X, Y$ 

$$\begin{split} k[V_d] &= k[a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_d] \curvearrowleft \mathrm{SL}_2 \\ k[V_2]^{\mathrm{SL}_2} &= k[a_1^2 - 4a_0 a_2] \\ k[V_3]^{\mathrm{SL}_2} &= k[a_1^2 a_2^2 - 4a_0 a_2^3 - 4a_1^3 a_3 - 27a_0^2 a_3^2 + 18a_0 a_1 a_2 a_3] \\ k[V_4]^{\mathrm{SL}_2} \text{ is generated by } f_2 \text{ and } f_3 \text{, where} \end{split}$$

$$f_2 = a_0 a_4 - \frac{a_1 a_3}{4} + \frac{a_2^2}{12}, \quad f_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1/4 & a_2/6 \\ a_1/4 & a_2/6 & a_3/4 \\ a_2/6 & a_3/4 & a_4 \end{vmatrix}$$

.

 $k[V_5]^{\operatorname{SL}_2}$  is generated by  $f_4,\,f_8,\,f_{12},$  and  $f_{18},$  where

$$f_4 = -2a_2^2a_3^2 + 6a_1a_3^3 + 6a_2^3a_4 - 19a_1a_2a_3a_4 - 15a_0a_3^2a_4 + 9a_1^2a_4^2 + 40a_0a_2a_4^2 - 15a_1a_2^2a_5 + 40a_1^2a_3a_5 + 25a_0a_2a_3a_5 - 250a_0a_1a_4a_5 + 625a_0^2a_5^2,$$

and  $f_8 = \textcircled{\odot}$ , etc.

## Example - Homogeneous forms

 $k[V_6]^{SL_2}$  is generated by  $f_2$ ,  $f_4$ ,  $f_6$ ,  $f_{10}$ , and  $f_{15}$ . Cayley (1856):  $k[V_7]^{SL_2}$  is not finitely generated. Gordan (1868):  $k[V_d]^{SL_2}$  is finitely generated for all d.  $k[V_7]^{SL_2}$  is generated by 30 generators (Dixmier-Lazard, 1986).  $k[V_8]^{SL_2}$  is generated by 9 generators (Shioda, 1967).  $k[V_9]^{SL_2}$  is generated by 92 generators (Brouwer-Popoviciu, 2010).  $k[V_{10}]^{SL_2}$  is generated by 106 generators (Brouwer-Popoviciu, 2010).  $k[V_d]^{SL_2}$  is unknown for d > 11.

#### Theorem (Hilbert, 1890)

Let G be a linearly reductive group. Then for any finite dimensional G-representation V,  $k[V]^G$  is finitely generated.

## Fundamental theorems of invariant theory

$$V = k^{d}$$
  

$$SL_{d} \curvearrowright V \Rightarrow SL_{d} \curvearrowright V^{n} = V \oplus V \oplus \dots \oplus V$$
  

$$k[V^{n}] = k[X_{ij}]_{1 \le i \le d, 1 \le j \le n}$$
  
Invariants:  $[j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{d}] := \det(X_{ij_{1}}, X_{ij_{2}}, \dots, X_{ij_{d}})$ 

Theorem (First fundamental theorem of invariant theory)

The invariant subring  $k[V^n]^{SL_d}$  is generated by  $[j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_d]$  for all multiindices  $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_d \le n$ .

#### Theorem (Second fundamental theorem of invariant theory)

The ideal of relations in  $k[V^n]^{SL_d}$  is generated by Plücker relations:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d+1} (-1)^k [i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{d-1}, j_k] [j_1, \cdots, j_{k-1}, j_{k+1}, \cdots, j_{d+1}].$$

True over any base ring (de Concini-Procesi).

## $SL_2$ -case - Graphical algebra

$$V = k^{2} \curvearrowleft SL_{2}$$

$$k[V^{n}] = k \begin{bmatrix} X_{1} & X_{2} & \cdots & X_{n} \\ Y_{1} & Y_{2} & \cdots & Y_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[i, j] = X_{i}Y_{j} - X_{j}Y_{i} =: Z_{ij}$$

First fundamental theorem  $\Rightarrow k[V^n]^{SL_2}$  is generated by  $Z_{ij}$ 

Second fundamental theorem  $\Rightarrow$  two types of relations:

• 
$$Z_{ji} = -Z_{ij}$$

• Plücker relations:  $Z_{ij}Z_{kl} - Z_{ik}Z_{jl} + Z_{il}Z_{jk} = 0$ 

#### Definition

The graphical algebra of order n is the invariant ring  $k[V^n]^{SL_2}$ .

## Graphical algebra - Combinatorial interpretation

 $\Gamma:$  a loopless directed graph on  $[n]:=\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ 

For an edge  $e \in E_{\Gamma}$ , h(e): head of e, t(e): tail of e.

For each  $\Gamma$ , let



$$Z_{\Gamma} = Z_{12}Z_{13}Z_{42}Z_{34}$$
  
=  $(X_1Y_2 - X_2Y_1)(X_1Y_3 - X_3Y_1)(X_4Y_2 - X_2Y_4)(X_3Y_4 - X_4Y_3)$ 

## Graphical algebra - Combinatorial interpretation

- $\Gamma\text{, }\Gamma^{\prime}\text{: two graphs on }[n]$
- $\Gamma\cdot\Gamma'{:=}$  the disjoint union of two graphs
- $G_n$ : monoid of all directed graphs on [n].

 $Z_{\Gamma} \cdot Z_{\Gamma'} = Z_{\Gamma \cdot \Gamma'}$ 

#### Theorem

The graphical algebra  $k[V^n]^{SL_2}$  is  $k[G_n]$  modulo two types of relations:

Orientation reversing

$$1 \longrightarrow 2 = - 1 \longleftarrow 2$$

Plücker relations

$$2 \leftarrow 1 \\ - 2 \leftarrow 1 \\ - 2 \leftarrow 1 \\ + 4 \\ - 3 \leftarrow 4 \\$$

$$k[V^n] = k[X_i, Y_i] = \bigoplus_{\overrightarrow{d}} \mathrm{H}^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n))$$
$$k[V^n]^{\mathrm{SL}_2} = k[X_i, Y_i]^{\mathrm{SL}_2} = \bigoplus_{\overrightarrow{d}} \mathrm{H}^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n))^{\mathrm{SL}_2}$$

The latter one is the ring of all functions (divisors) on  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n //SL_2$ , which is, the Cox ring of  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n //SL_2$ .

## Connection to moduli spaces?

There are (at least) two ways to interpret  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // SL_2$ :

 $\textcircled{\ } \textbf{A} \ \text{moduli space of } n \ \text{ordered marked points on } \mathbb{P}^1 \ \text{up to projective equivalence}$ 

We will discuss the relation with the nef cone of  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ .

**(2)** A moduli space of rank 2, degree 0 parabolic bundles over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{P}^{1})^{n} // \mathrm{SL}_{2} &= \{ (p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}) \mid p_{i} \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \} /_{\sim} \\ &= \{ (V_{1}, \cdots, V_{n}) \mid V_{i} \subset k^{2}, \dim V_{i} = 1 \} /_{\sim} \\ &= \{ (E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{2}, (V_{1}, \cdots, V_{n})) \mid V_{i} \subset E |_{x_{i}} \} /_{\sim} \end{aligned}$$

#### Theorem (M-Yoo)

Let  $M(\mathbf{a}, d)$  be the moduli space of  $\mathbf{a}$ -stable rank 2, degree d vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . For a general  $\mathbf{a}$ , the effective cone of  $M(\mathbf{a}, 0)$  is generated by  $2^{n-1}$  extremal rays. ( $\rho(M(\mathbf{a}, 0)) = n + 1$ ).

# Part II

# $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ and the F-conjecture

## Positivity of divisors

Let D be an integral Cartier divisor on a projective variety X.

Let  $\varphi_D : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be the map associated to |D|.

#### Definition

- **(**) A divisor D is very ample if  $\varphi_D$  is an embedding.
- 2 A divisor D is ample if mD is very ample for some m > 0.
- **③** A divisor D is base-point-free if  $\varphi_D$  is regular.
- **(**) A divisor D is semi-ample if mD is base-point-free for some m > 0.
- **(a)** A divisor D is nef if  $D \cdot C \ge 0$  for every integral curve C on X.



#### Question

Compute the cone of positive (ample, semi-ample, nef) divisors.

## $M_{0,n}$ and the topological stratification



 $\cdots$  moduli space of *n*-pointed stable rational curves.

F a natural stratification indexed by the topological types of parametrized curves (= dual graphs).

• 
$$\left\{ I \ni \bullet \in I^c \right\} =: D_I \cdots$$
 boundary divisor

- The closure of any stratum is an intersection of boundary divisors, and is isomorphic to a product of  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,k}$ .
- F-curve: the closure of a one-dimensional stratum
- F-point: zero-dimensional stratum

## F-conjecture

Guess: The geometry of  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$  is very similar to that of toric varieties. Evidence (Kapranov):  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$  is an iterative blow-up of points, lines, planes,  $\cdots$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ .

## Conjecture (F-conjecture)

• The cone of curves of  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$  is generated by F-curves.

A divisor D on M<sub>0,n</sub> is nef if and only if D · F ≥ 0 for every F-curve F (F-nef).

It turned out that  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$  is very different from toric varieties! (Vermeire, Castravet-Tevelev  $\times 2$ , Doran-Giansiracusa-Jensen, etc.)

There is a natural  $S_n$ -action on  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ .

#### Conjecture ( $S_n$ -invariant F-conjecture)

For an  $S_n$ -invariant divisor D, D is nef if and only if D is F-nef.

 $S_n$ -invariant F-conjecture for  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \Rightarrow$  nef cone of  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_n$  (Gibney-Keel-Morrison)

#### Theorem (Keel-McKernan, 96)

The F-conjecture is true for  $n \leq 7$  in char 0.

- Studied consequences of the following situation:  $\exists$  an ample divisor whose support is  $D = \sum D_I$ , and each  $D_I$  has a negative normal bundle.
- (Ray theorem) If R is an extremal ray of the curve cone, G is an effective divisor supported on D, and  $(K_{\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}} + G) \cdot R < 0$ , then R is generated by a curve  $\subset D$ .
- Used standard theorems in birational geometry in char 0: Cone theorem and Contraction theorem.

## Theorem (Gibney, 03)

The  $S_n$ -invariant F-conjecture is true for  $n \leq 24$  in char 0.

- Ray theorem  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose that  $E = cK_{\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}} + G$  for some effective boundary G and  $c \geq 0$ . If  $C \cdot E < 0$ , then  $C \subset G$ .
- If E is an F-nef divisor such that for each inclusion of a boundary  $b: \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,k} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}, \ b^*(E) = c' K_{\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,k}} + G'$ , then E is nef.
- Verified this condition for S<sub>n</sub>-invariant F-nef divisors using a computer program.

## Theorem (Fedorchuk, 14)

Over any field, the  $S_n$ -invariant F-conjecture is true for  $n \leq 16$ .

• Every divisor can be written as 
$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \psi_i - \sum_I b_I D_I.$$

- Studied carefully relations in the Picard group and the change of  $a_i$ ,  $b_I$  when D is restricted to boundaries
- Combinatorial conditions on  $a_i$ ,  $b_I$  for being stratally effective boundary (= D is an effective linear combination of boundaries after taking the restriction to each boundary) and being boundary semi-ample (= the sub linear system of |mD| generated by effective linear combinations of boundaries is base-point-free).
- Defined several collections of functions describing the coefficients  $a_i$  and  $b_I$  of stratally effective boundary and boundary semi-ample divisors.
- Provided infinitely many examples.

## Known results

The moduli space  $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$  is embedded into a (non-proper) toric variety  $X_{\Delta}$  where  $\Delta$  is a fan, the so-called space of phylogenetic trees.

Let  $i: \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \hookrightarrow X_\Delta$  be the embedding.

- $G_{\Delta}$ : cone of semi-ample divisors on  $X_{\Delta}$
- $L_{\Delta}:$  cone of divisors on  $X_{\Delta}$  so that the restriction to each orbit closure is effective
- $F_{\Delta}$ : cone of divisors on  $X_{\Delta}$  so that the restriction to each (d+1)-dimensional orbit closure (d = dimension of minimal orbits) is effective

#### Theorem (Gibney-Maclagan, 10)

 $i^*(G_{\Delta}) \subset i^*(L_{\Delta}) \subset \operatorname{Nef}(\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}) \subset i^*(F_{\Delta})$ 

and  $i^*(F_{\Delta})$  is the cone of F-nef divisors.

Proved the equality for  $n \leq 6$ .



#### Theorem (M-Swinarski)

Over Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$ , for every  $S_n$ -invariant F-nef integral divisor D, the following statements are true.

- If  $n \leq 19$ , D is semi-ample.
- 2 If  $n \leq 16$ , 2D is base-point-free.

#### Corollary

If  $n \leq 16$  and D is ample, then 2D is very ample.

It follows from the very ampleness of  $K_{\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}} + D$  (Keel-Tevelev).

## Conjecture (Swinarski)

Over Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$ , for every  $S_n$ -invariant F-nef integral divisor D, 2D is base-point-free.

true for  $n\leq 16$ 

Conjecture (M)

Over Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$ , for every  $S_n$ -invariant F-nef integral divisor D, D is base-point-free.

true for  $n \leq 11$  and 13, only one unknown case for each n=12,14,15

# Part III

# Graphical algebra and the $S_n$ -invariant F-conjecture

### Theorem (Kapranov, 93)

There is a birational morphism 
$$\pi : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n / / \mathrm{SL}_2.$$

 $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ : moduli space of possibly singular curves with distinct marked points.

 $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\!/\mathrm{SL}_2$ : moduli space of smooth curves with not necessarily distinct marked points.



## Kapranov's morphism

Assume n is odd. (When n is even, need to do some extra work around strictly semistable locus.)

A subset  $I \subset [n]$  always satisfies  $2 \le |I| \le n/2$ .

Observation 1. The morphism  $\pi$  preserves stratifications.  $\pi(D_I) = V(Z_{ij})_{i,j\in I} = \{(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) \mid p_i = p_j, \forall i, j \in I\} =: V_I$ (here  $Z_{ij} = X_i Y_j - X_j Y_i$ )  $|I| = 2 \Rightarrow V_I$  is a divisor  $|I| > 2 \Rightarrow V_I$  is a higher codimensional subvariety

Observation 2. Any  $S_n$ -invariant F-nef divisor D can be written uniquely as

$$D = \pi^*(cL) - \sum_{i \ge 3} a_i D_i$$

$$\begin{split} L &= \sum_{|I|=2} V_I: \text{ an ample divisor in } \operatorname{Pic}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n /\!/ \operatorname{SL}_2)^{S_n} \\ D_i &= \sum_{|I|=i} D_I, \quad c, a_i \geq 0 \end{split}$$

## Identification of linear systems

$$D = \pi^*(cL) - \sum_{i \ge 3} a_i D_i$$
$$|D| = |\pi^*(cL) - \sum_{i \ge 3} a_i D_i| \cong |cL|_{\mathbf{a}} \subset |cL|$$

where  $|cL|_{\mathbf{a}} := \{E \in |cL| \mid \text{multiplicity along } V_I \ge a_{|I|}\}.$  $\mathbf{a} := (a_3, a_4, \cdots, a_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})$ 

Recall that for each directed graph  $\Gamma$  on [n], we can assign a monomial  $Z_{\Gamma} := \prod_{ij \in E_{\Gamma}} Z_{ij}$ , so a divisor on  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n / / \mathrm{SL}_2$ .



 $|cL|_{\mathbf{a}} := \{ E \in |cL| \mid \text{multiplicity along } V_I \ge a_{|I|} \}$ 

 $Z_{\Gamma} \in |cL|_{\mathbf{a}}$  if

- For each i ∈ [n], the valence of i (the number of edges incident to i) is c(n-1) (⇔ Z<sub>Γ</sub> ∈ |cL|);
- e For each *I* ⊂ [*n*], the number of edges connecting *i*, *j* ∈ *I* is at least  $a_{|I|}$  (⇔ *Z*<sub>Γ</sub> ∈ |*cL*|<sub>a</sub>).



 $\Rightarrow \qquad Z_{\Gamma} \in |L|_{a_3=2}$ 

## Combinatorial interpretation



 $|cL|_{\mathbf{a},G}:$  sub linear system of  $|cL|_{\mathbf{a}}$  generated by graphical monomials.

 $|D|_G$ : sub linear system of |D| which is identified with  $|cL|_{\mathbf{a},G}$ .

#### Definition

An  $S_n$ -invariant F-nef divisor D is G-base-point-free if  $|D|_G$  is base-point-free.

- D is G-base-point-free  $\Rightarrow D$  is base-point-free.
- $Bs(|D|_G)$  is a union of boundary strata.
- If  $Bs(|D|_G)$  is nonempty, there must be an F-point in  $Bs(|D|_G)$ .

## Combinatorial interpretation

 $\begin{array}{l} D \text{ is } G\text{-base-point-free} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ F-point } F = \cap_{I \in T} D_I, \exists E \in |D|_G, F \notin E \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ F-point } F = \cap_{I \in T} D_I, \exists E' \in |cL|_{\mathbf{a},G}, E' \text{ has the minimum} \\ \text{multiplicity along } V_I \text{ for } I \in T. \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ F-point } F = \cap_{I \in T} D_I, \exists Z_{\Gamma} \in |cL|_{\mathbf{a},G}, Z_{\Gamma} \text{ has the minimum} \\ \text{multiplicity } (= a_{|I|}) \text{ along } V_I \text{ for } I \in T. \end{array}$ 

#### Proposition

For an  $S_n$ -invariant F-nef divisor  $D = \pi^*(cL) - \sum_{i \ge 3} a_i D_i$ , |D| is G-base-point-free if for every F-point  $F = \bigcap_{I \in T} D_I$ , there is a graph  $\Gamma$  such that:

- For each  $i \in [n]$ , the valence of i is c(n-1);
- **③** For each  $I \subset [n]$ , the number of edges connecting  $i, j \in I$  is at least  $a_{|I|}$ ;
- **9** For each  $I \in T$ , the number of edges connecting  $i, j \in I$  is precisely  $a_{|I|}$ .

For each  $c, a_3, a_4, \cdots, a_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ ,

What we need to find: for each F-point  $F = \bigcap_{I \in T} D_I$ , a graph weighting  $w : E_{K_n} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , such that:

w(ij) ≥ 0;
For each i ∈ [n], ∑<sub>j≠i</sub> w(ij) = c(n − 1);
For each I ⊂ [n], ∑<sub>i,j∈I</sub> w(ij) ≥ a<sub>|I|</sub>;
For each I ∈ T, ∑<sub>i,j∈I</sub> w(ij) = a<sub>|I|</sub>.

This is a feasibility problem (= the nonemptiness of a polytope).

Computational problem:

- Choose an F-point F for each S<sub>n</sub>-orbit. Let P be the set of such representatives.
- **②** For each  $F \in P$ , make a polytope Q(F) described by the above equations and inequalities.

- This computation is faster than expectation: For instance, for small n, for most of extremal rays of the  $S_n$ -invariant F-nef cone,  $\cap_{F \in P} Q(F) \neq \emptyset$ .
- The cone of G-semi-ample divisors is a polyhedral lower bound of Nef(M
  <sub>0,n</sub>)<sup>S<sub>n</sub></sup>.
- *D* is *G*-semi-ample  $\Leftrightarrow$  *D* is *S*<sub>n</sub>-invariant boundary semi-ample (Fedorchuk)  $\Leftrightarrow$  *D*  $\in$  *i*<sup>\*</sup>(*G*<sub>Δ</sub>)<sup>*S*<sub>n</sub></sup> (Gibney-Maclagan)

So for  $n \leq 19$ ,

$$i^*(G_{\Delta})^{S_n} = i^*(L_{\Delta})^{S_n} = \operatorname{Nef}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n})^{S_n} = i^*(F_{\Delta})^{S_n}$$

# Thank you!