Minor correction to "Equations for point configurations to lie on a rational normal curve"

Alessio Caminata, Noah Giansiracusa, Han-Bom Moon, and Luca Schaffler

April 4, 2019

In [CGMS18, §4], we are concerned with *n*-point configurations $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in (\mathbb{P}^d)^n$ satisfying the property that every hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^d$ avoids at least two points in the configuration. Let us call such *n*-point configurations *strongly non-degenerate*. We consider these point configurations because if \mathbf{p} is strongly non-degenerate, then the set of Gale transforms $\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{p})$ is well defined.

In [CGMS18, §4], such *n*-point configurations are mistakenly called "automorphism-free" point configurations, meaning that if a projective linear transformation fixes the n points, then that has to be the identity. As David Speyer kindly pointed out to us, although an automorphism-free point configuration is strongly non-degenerate, the converse is false.

As a counterexample, consider the two skew lines $\{X_0 = X_1 = 0\}, \{X_2 = X_3 = 0\}$ in \mathbb{P}^3 and a configuration of $n \ge 6$ distinct points on these two lines with at least three points on each line. This *n*-point configuration is strongly non-degenerate, but it is not automorphism-free. Nontrivial automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^3 fixing the *n*-points are

$$\left(egin{array}{cccc} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & \mu & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu \end{array}
ight),$$

for all choices of $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{k} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda \neq \mu$, where k is our base field.

Therefore, in [CGMS18, §4] the name automorphism-free point configuration has to be replaced with strongly non-degenerate, and Lemma 4.7, which is false, has to be deleted. All the proofs and theorems remain valid, since we never make use of the automorphismfree property, but just of the weaker strongly non-degenerate one.

References

[CGMS18] Alessio Caminata, Noah Giansiracusa, Han-Bom Moon, and Luca Schaffler. Equations for point configurations to lie on a rational normal curve. Adv. Math. 340 (2018), 653–683.