

## NUMERICAL PROPERTIES OF AMPLENESS

PATRICK MCFADDIN, HAN-BOM MOON

**Definition 0.1.** Two Cartier divisors  $D_1, D_2$  are **numerically equivalent** ( $D_1 \equiv_{\text{num}} D_2$ ) if  $D_1 \cdot C = D_2 \cdot C$  for all irreducible curves in  $X$ .

A divisor or line bundle is numerically trivial if it is numerically equivalent to 0.

Let  $\text{Num}(X) \subset \text{Div}(X)$  be the subgroup of numerically trivial divisors.

**Definition 0.2.** The Neron-Severi group of  $X$  is

$$N^1(X) = \text{Div}(X)/\text{Num}(X).$$

Fact:  $N^1(X)$  is a free abelian group of finite rank.

**Definition 0.3.** The rank of  $N^1(X)$  is called the **Picard number** of  $X$  ( $\rho(X)$ ).

**Theorem 0.4.** (*Nakai-Moishezon-Kleiman criterion for ampleness*) Let  $L$  be a line bundle on a projective scheme  $X$ .  $L$  is ample  $\Leftrightarrow \int_V c_1(L)^{\dim V} > 0$  for any positive dimensional irreducible subvariety  $V$  of  $X$ .

The following is a history of Nakai-Moishezon criterion.

Nakai: for smooth surfaces (1960)

Moishezon: for nonsingular varieties of higher dimension (1961), singular varieties (1962)

Nakai: for projective schemes (1963)

Fedorchuk, Smyth: for algebraic space (2011) (with an additional condition)

**Corollary 0.5.** (*Numerical nature of ampleness*) If  $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X)$  such that  $D_1 \equiv_{\text{num}} D_2$  on a projective scheme, then  $D_1$  ample  $\Leftrightarrow D_2$  ample.

**Remark 0.6.**  $\delta \in N^1(X)$  is ample if it represented by an ample divisor.

**Corollary 0.7.** (*Finite pullbacks*) Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  finite and surjective. Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . If  $f^*L$  is ample on  $Y$  then  $L$  is ample on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V \subset X$  be an irreducible subvariety. Since  $f$  is surjective, there is  $W \subset Y$  which maps finitely onto  $V$ . By projection formula, we have

$$0 < \int_W c_1(f^*L)^{\dim W} = \deg(W \rightarrow V) \int_V c_1(L)^{\dim V}.$$

□

*Proof.* (of Theorem 0.4)  $\Leftarrow$  Relies heavily on the following result:

**Proposition 0.8.** (Corollary 1.2.15) Suppose  $L$  globally generated and  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$  the corresponding morphism. Then  $L$  is ample  $\Leftrightarrow \int_C c_1(L) > 0$  for every irreducible curve  $C$ .

By Proposition 1.2.16, we may suppose that  $X$  is reduced and irreducible. The result for  $\dim X = 1$  is clear.

Proceed by induction on  $\dim X$ . Let  $n = \dim X$ . Assume that theorem holds for all schemes of dimension  $\leq n - 1$ .

Write  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . Want to show:  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) \neq 0$ , for  $m \gg 0$ . By asymptotic Riemann-Roch,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) = m^n \frac{(D^n)}{n!} + O(m^{n-1}).$$

Note that  $D^n = \int_X c_1(L)^n > 0$  by assumption.

Write  $D \equiv_{lin} A - B$ ,  $A, B$  very ample divisors.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD - B) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X((m+1)D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A((m+1)D) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD - B) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(mD) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

By induction,  $\mathcal{O}_A(D)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_B(D)$  are ample. Serre vanishing  $\Rightarrow$  higher cohomology of  $\mathcal{O}_A((m+1)D)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_B(mD)$  will vanish for  $m \gg 0$ . Thus

$$h^i(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) = h^i(\mathcal{O}_X(mD - B)) = h^i(\mathcal{O}_X((m+1)D)), \quad i \geq 2.$$

Therefore,

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) + C,$$

and

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) + m^n \frac{D^n}{n!} + O(m^{n-1})$$

so  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) \neq 0$  for  $m \gg 0$ . By replacing  $D$  by  $mD$ , we may assume that  $D$  is effective.

Using effectivity of  $D$ ,  $D$  will be globally generated away  $\text{supp}(D)$ . Indeed,  $mD$  is globally generated. It is sufficient to show the global generation on  $\text{supp}(D)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{O}_D(mD)$  is globally generated by ampleness of  $D$  on  $D$  (from induction hypothesis). From

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X((m-1)D) \xrightarrow{\cdot D} \mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(mD) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_X((m-1)D)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(mD))$$

is surjective for  $m \gg 0$  ( $H^1(\mathcal{O}_D(mD)) = 0$  from Serre's vanishing). Thus  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X((m-1)D)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(mD))$  is isomorphism for  $m \gg 0$ . So  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_D(mD))$  is surjective for that  $m$ .  $\square$